Slides prepared by Peter Sinsheimer, Ph.D., MPH

Executive Director: UCLA Sustainable Technology & Policy

Program

Principal Investigator:

Environmental Garment Care Demonstration Project

Phase Out of Toxic Dry Cleaning

Model for GUMI

- Southern California-based story
- Scientific evaluation of the viability of green non-toxic alternative to toxic dry cleaning chemical.
- Promotion of use of non-toxic alternative
- NGO successfully promoted policy to phase out of toxic process and phase in of non-toxic alternative

Background of Garment Care Industry

30,000+ dry cleaners in the U.S.

- 85% use perchloroethylene (PERC)
- Most are small Mom and Pop shops
- 50% Korean ownership in Los Angeles
- 5,000 dry cleaners in California



Adverse health & environmental effects of

- Probable human carcinogen (IARC)
- Dizziness, headaches, impaired judgment
- Toxic air contaminant, groundwater pollutant

PCE dry cleaning highly regulated

Liability concerns (Superfund; landlord restrictions)

Adverse Health Effects Associated with Perchloroethylene

- Acute exposure associated with dizziness, headaches, nausea, impaired judgment, impaired perception.
- Chronic exposure associated with damage to the liver and kidneys, and respiratory disease.
- Exposure associated with neurotoxicity, reproductive toxicity, and developmental toxicity.
- Cancer risks include: bladder, stomach, esophageal, intestinal, and pancreatic.

Risk Classification of PERC

- Group 2A Carcinogen (i.e., a probable human carcinogen)
 - International Agency for Research on Cancer.
- *Potential Human Carcinogen* –National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH).
- *Hazardous Air Pollutant* Clean Air Act.

Non-Compliance with Regulations

Location/ Year	# Facilities Inspected	# Facilities in Compliance	Rate of Non- compliance
Sacramento 1996	30	4	87%
South Coast 1997	208	21	90%
South Coast 1999	340	17	95%
Bay Area 1998	41	9	79%
New York 1998	200	3	98%
Massachusetts 1998	100	6	94%
Pennsylvania 2006			100%

Non-PERC Dry Cleaning Alternatives

Hydrocarbon

- Exxon-Mobil, Shell, Chevron.
- Higher capital costs.
- Fire Hazard, Hazardous waste;
 VOC emissions.
- More energy intensive.

Liquid Carbon Dioxide

- Non-toxic, zero-emission.
- Performance capability unproven.
- Very high capital costs.

Silicone

- General Electric.
- Higher capital costs, Annual fee.
- Fire Hazard; Hazardous waste.
- Recent evidence of toxicity.
- More energy intensive.

Professional Wet Cleaning

- Zero-emission, non-toxic, energy efficient.
- Lower capital and operating costs.
- Requires additional training.

Definition of Professional Wet Cleaning

"Wet cleaning is a process for cleaning sensitive textiles (wool, silk, rayon, natural and man-made fibers) in water by professionals using a special technology and detergents that lead to minimum fabric shrinkage and damage. It is followed by an appropriate tumble drying and restorative finishing procedure."

(European Wet Cleaning Committee)

Professional Wet Cleaning Washer/Dryer System



← Computer-Controlled Washing

- Ultra gentle agitation simulates hand washing
- Low water level and low water temperature
- High extraction speed

Moisture Sensor Drying \rightarrow

- Precise moisture control
- Detects moisture in garment
- Prevents over drying



Professional Wet Cleaning Detergent Dispensing

Dispensing System: Precise amount of bio-degradable cleaning agents mixed with water before release into the cleaning drum.



Cleaning Agents: Formulated to maximize cleaning power while minimizing color change and shrinkage.

- Detergents remove stains/soils.
- Conditioners smooth and soften.
- Sizing adds body and enhances finishing.

Specialized Tensioning Presses

Tensioning Presses: used to enhance restoration of constructed garments, such as suit jackets, suit pants, and tailored items.

Steam to relax fibers, Tension to restore length and form, Hot air to dry.

Tensioning Form Finisher



Tensioning Pants Topper



Professional Wet Cleaning Commercialization Project

- Provide grant funding and technical assistance to dry cleaners willing to switch to professional wet cleaning and serve as demonstration sites.
- Demonstrate technology to other cleaners.
- Evaluate ability to successfully switch.





Professional Wet Cleaning Commercialization Project

- Provide grant funding and technical assistance to dry cleaners willing to switch to professional wet cleaning and serve as demonstration sites.
- Demonstrate technology to other cleaners.
- Evaluate ability to successfully switch.





Project Timeline

- 1996: Established first professional wet cleaner in California.
- 1998: Established first dry cleaner to switch to professional wet cleaning.
- 2000: Established large-scale professional wet cleaning demonstration program in the Los Angeles region.
- 2002: Report on viability of professional wet cleaning used as basis for phase out of PCE dry cleaning in greater Los Angeles region.
- 2003: California law provides PCE dry cleaners incentives to switch to environmental technologies.
- 2005: Began demonstration program for San Francisco Bay Area and San Diego for professional wet cleaning and CO2 dry cleaning.
- 2007: Began demonstration program for professional wet cleaning and CO2 dry cleaning throughout California.

Technical Evaluation of Cleaners Switching to Professional Wet Cleaning

- Technical Performance: Cleaners able to successfully process the full range of garments they had previously dry cleaned.
- Financial Viability: Capital and operating costs lower in professional wet cleaning.
- Environmental Impact: Electricity consumption significantly lower after the switch.

Alternatives Assessment Matrix of Garment Care Technologies

	Professional Wet Cleaning	Perc	Petroleum	Silicone	CO2
Performance	Comparable	Standard	Comparable	Comparable	Comparable
Typical cost, installed	\$47,000	\$52,000	\$59,000	\$61,000	\$100,000
Operating cost (\$/lb)	\$0.21-\$0.28	\$0.37-\$0.45	\$0.28-\$0.36	\$0.32-\$0.43	\$0.31-\$0.43
Training	Vendor, local demonstration sites & outreach program	Compliance certification; vendor and trade groups	Vendor and trade groups	Vendor and user network	Vendor, demonstration site is planned
Funding	AB998=\$10K; demo site grant funding; energy- efficiency incentives	· .	Vendor equipment leasing arrangements available	Vendor equipment leasing arrangements available	AB998=\$10K; demonstration site grant funding

Alternatives Assessment Matrix (cont)

	Professional Wet Cleaning	Perc	Petroleum	Silicone	CO2
Worker health and safety	No	Carcinogen, acute/chronic exposure	Potential neurotoxin, Fire hazard	Tumor promoter, Fire hazard	Pressure vessel permit and maintenance
Air permits	No	Hazardous Air Pollutant	Smog; Global warming	Possible if toxicity confirmed	No
Fire permits	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Energy	Low	High	High	High	High
Water	Possibly higher, no cooling tower	Cooling tower issues	Cooling tower issues	Cooling tower issues	Cooling tower issues
Waste water quality	Safe with non- haz. spotters; cross contam. with mixed	Waste discharge prohibited; cross contam.	Waste discharge prohibited; cross contam.	Discharge potential problem; cross contam.	Testing of waste required
Hazardous waste	No, if non- hazardous spotting chemicals	Yes	Yes	Potential toxicity	Need testing of cleaning agents and spotting chemicals

Conclusion/Recommendations

- Professional wet cleaning and CO₂ dry cleaning viable non-toxic technologies with no other anticipated adverse environmental health impacts.
- Significant environmental health issues identified for PERC, hydrocarbon, and silicone.
- Phase out PERC dry cleaning and hydrocarbon dry cleaning.
- Freeze silicone dry cleaning until safety established.
- Phase out hazardous spotting chemicals.
- Create detergent and spotting chemical certification programs.
- Developing health and safety testing for any new garment care solvent before permitting use.

AB1879

- Toxic dry clean example good model for AB1879
 - Indentify hazardous product → alternatives analysis to identify safer substitute → phase out of hazardous product